

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,396	04/22/2005	Toru Sasaki	SONYJP 3.3-1032	1596
590 01/29/2009 LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK			EXAMINER	
			LEE, PING	
WESTFIELD.	VENUE WEST NJ 07090		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/532 396 SASAKI, TORU Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Pina Lee 2614 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 December 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 7-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 7-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Imformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/532,396

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claims 1, 2 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP3-106298 (hereafter JP '298) in view of Parker (US 2,632,055), Yanagawa et al (hereafter Yanagawa) (US 5,953,432) and Jeon (US 6,760,460).

Regarding claims 1, 2, 7 and 11, JP '298 discloses an array speaker apparatus in Figs.1b and 2. As shown in Fig. 1a, a projection screen (14) is located in front of the array speaker apparatus. The array has a plurality of speaker units each operable to provide sound waves. JP '298 discloses the claimed invention with the exception of (1) a frame, (2) a plurality of cabinets within the frame, so each cabinet is substantially enclosed on all but one side, (3) means for causing the sound waves to generate sound in three different directions, and (4) said each speaker module further includes: means for limiting vibration of the projection screen.

The second difference would be discussed first. JP '298 teaches a speaker array with different cabinet designs for the speakers in the array. As shown in Fig. 1b, speaker 12b has an open back, while speaker 12a has a closed back (this is the same design as the claimed cabinet). The cabinets as shown in JP '298 were one type of cabinet design for housing the speakers. One skilled in the art would have recognized that there were several different kinds of cabinet designs available. Parker teaches how

Application/Control Number: 10/532,396

Art Unit: 2614

to use relative small speakers each enclosed in a small cabinet to provide smooth low frequency response. As shown in Fig. 3 or 4 of Parker, this is a closed-back design to allow the sound to be reproduced in forward direction only. Since Parker's system uses small speakers, the system would fit well with the projection screen in JP '298. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify JP '298 by using the speaker cabinet design as taught in Parker in order to improve the low frequency response.

Regarding the frame, the purpose of the frame is to enclose all small cabinets together for easier assembly and additional protection. It does not alter the sound quality generated from the array. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify JP '298 and Parker by using a frame to enclose all cabinets in order to provide a single piece of device to be coupled to the screen.

Regarding the third difference, JP '298 teaches a general speaker array to be coupled to a screen without specifying the particular type of sound generating circuit to generate the sound to be applied to the array. One skilled in the art would have expected that any well known sound generating circuit, including a circuit that causes the sound generated having three different directions, could be used without generating any unexpected result. Yanagawa teaches a sound generating circuit for a speaker array. As shown in Fig. 3, the array would generate sound in three different directions such that a main axis of directivity of the sound waves from one or more of the speaker units associated with a first channel is in a first direction, the main axis of directivity of the sound waves from one or more of the speaker units associated with a second

Application/Control Number: 10/532,396

Art Unit: 2614

channel is in a second direction which is different from the first direction, and the main axis of directivity of the sound waves from one or more of the speaker units associated with a third channel is in a third direction which is different from the first direction and the second direction (col. 3, lines 32-48). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made with all three references before him/her to incorporate the sound generating circuit as taught in Yanagawa for controlling the sound signal to be applied to the array in order to simulate the sound effect.

As for the fourth difference, it was well known in the art that the speakers not only would generate sound, but they also would generate unwanted vibration. When the speakers are physically attached to a display, the vibration would cause serious problem to the image quality. Jeon teaches to use a vibration attenuation member (3) to prevent the vibration from the speaker to be transmitted to the display (col. 6, lines 4-6). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made with all four references before him/her to use vibration attenuation member to reduce the speakers' housing vibration affecting the video quality of the display.

Regarding claim 8, Yanagawa shows the sound generating circuit including power amplifiers (24s).

Regarding claim 9, as shown in Fig. 3, Yanagawa shows the left channel, the right channel and the center channel.

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding claim 10, Yanagawa teaches that the sound generating circuit is able to adjust the main axis of directivity (see abstract).

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, and 7-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ping Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-7522.
The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday. Art Unit: 2614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian C. Chin can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ping Lee/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614

pwl